

CONFORMAL TRACTOR GEOMETRY COMPUTATIONS

TRAVIS WILLSE

DO NOT DISTRIBUTE

1. THE STANDARD REPRESENTATION $\mathbb{V} := \mathbb{R}^{p+1, q+1}$

A choice of scale determines a G_0 -module decomposition of the standard representation \mathbb{V} , namely

$$\mathbb{V} \cong_{G_0} \mathbb{R}[1] \oplus \mathbb{R}^{p, q} \oplus \mathbb{R}[-1].$$

1.1. The operators determined by a choice of scale. Recall the operators

$$Y^A \in \mathbb{V}[-1], \quad Z^A{}_a \in \mathbb{V}[1] \otimes (\mathbb{R}^{p, q})^*, \quad X^A \in \mathbb{V}[1],$$

that inject the irreducible G_0 -modules \mathbb{W} into \mathbb{V} , and by equivariance, the operators that inject the respective associated bundles in the standard tractor bundle. Henceforth we often suppress the distinction between the algebraic and bundle settings. Only X^A is independent of the choice of scale.

The only nonzero contractions of these operators with the canonical nondegenerate, symmetric bilinear form $H_{AB} \in S^2\mathbb{V}^*$ are determined by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{AB}Y^AX^B &= 1 \\ H_{AB}Z^A{}_aZ^B{}_b &= \mathbf{g}_{ab}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{g}_{ab} \in S^2(\mathbb{R}^{p, q})^*[2]$ is the canonical conformal structure.

We can extract the components of the general standard tractor

$$I^A := \sigma Y^A + \mu^a Z^A{}_a + \rho X^A$$

via

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= X_A I^A \\ \mu^a &= Z_A{}^a I^A \\ \rho &= Y_A I^A. \end{aligned}$$

1.2. The normal standard tractor connection. The covariant derivatives of these operators are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_c Y^A &= P_{cd} Z^{Ad} \\ \nabla_c Z^A{}_b &= -P_{bc} X^A - \mathbf{g}_{bc} Y^A \\ \nabla_c X^A &= Z^A{}_c. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in terms of its components, the covariant derivative of a general standard tractor is

$$\nabla_b I^A = (\sigma_{,b} - \mu_b) Y^A + (\mu^a{}_{,b} + P^a{}_b \sigma + \delta^a{}_b \rho) Z^A{}_a + (\rho_{,b} - P_{ab} \mu^a) X^A.$$

2. THE ADJOINT REPRESENTATION $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{V}) \cong \mathfrak{so}(p+1, q+1)$

A choice of scale σ determines a G_0 -module decomposition of the adjoint representation $\mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{V})$, namely,

$$\mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{V}) \cong_{G_0} \mathbb{R}^{p, q} \oplus \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{R}^{p, q}) \\ \oplus \\ \mathbb{R} \end{array} \right) \oplus (\mathbb{R}^{p, q})^*$$

(Here, $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$.)

2.1. The operators determined by a choice of scale. The usual operators X^A, Z^A_b, Y^A determine corresponding operators that inject the irreducible G_0 -modules \mathbb{W} into $\mathfrak{so}(p+1, q+1)$, and by equivariance, operators that inject the respective associated bundles into the adjoint tractor bundle, \mathcal{A} :

$$\begin{aligned} Q^A_{Bd} &:= Y^A Z_{Bd} - Z^A_d Y_B && \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{V}) \otimes (\mathbb{R}^{p,q})^* \\ R^A_{Bc}{}^d &:= \frac{1}{2} (Z^A_c Z_B{}^d - Z^{Ad} Z_{Bc}) && \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{V}) \otimes \mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{R}^{p,q})^* \\ S^A_B &:= Y^A X_B - X^A Y_B && \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{V}) \\ T^A_B{}^d &:= X^A Z_B{}^d - Z^{Ad} X_B && \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{V}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{p,q}. \end{aligned}$$

(Recall that via the musical isomorphisms $\mathbb{V} \cong \mathbb{V}^*$ and $\mathbb{R}^{p,q} \cong (\mathbb{R}^{p,q})^*[2]$, we have $\mathfrak{so}(p+1, q+1) \cong \Lambda^2 \mathbb{V}^*$ and $\mathfrak{so}(p, q) \cong \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^{p,q})^*[2]$.) If we fix a basis for TM , then we can view these operators together as basis of $\mathfrak{so}(p+1, q+1)$ (or a frame for \mathcal{A}), where the indices vary over the chosen basis of TM and its dual basis as appropriate. Only $T^A_B{}^d$ is independent of the choice of scale.

Regarded as endomorphisms U^A_B of the standard tractor bundle \mathcal{T} indexed by Latin miniscules, the compositions of these operators are given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q^A_{Ce} Q^C_{Bg} &= -\mathbf{g}_{eg} Y^A Y_B \\ Q^A_{Ce} R^C_{Bfg} &= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{g}_{ef} Y^A Z_B{}^g - \delta^g_e Y^A Z_{Bf}) \\ Q^A_{Ce} S^C_B &= Z^A_e Y_B \\ Q^A_{Ce} T^C_{Bg} &= -\delta^g_e Y^A X_B - Z^A_e Z_B{}^g \\ R^A_{Cd}{}^e Q^C_{Bg} &= \frac{1}{2} (-\delta^e_g Z^A_d Y_B + \mathbf{g}_{dg} Z^{Ae} Y_B) \\ R^A_{Cd}{}^e R^C_{Bfg} &= \frac{1}{4} (-\mathbf{g}^{eg} Z^A_d Z_{Bf} + \delta^e_f Z^A_d Z_B{}^g + \delta^g_d Z^{Ae} Z_{Bf} - \mathbf{g}_{df} Z^{Ae} Z_B{}^g) \\ R^A_{Cd}{}^e S^C_B &= 0 \\ R^A_{Cd}{}^e T^C_{Bg} &= \frac{1}{2} (-\mathbf{g}^{eg} Z^A_d X_B + \delta^g_d Z^{Ae} X_B) \\ S^A_C Q^C_{Bg} &= Y^A Z_{Bg} \\ S^A_C R^C_{Bfg} &= 0 \\ S^A_C S^C_B &= Y^A X_B + X^A Y_B \\ S^A_C T^C_{Bg} &= -X^A Z_B{}^g \\ T^A_C{}^e Q^C_{Bg} &= -\delta^e_g X^A Y_B - Z^{Ae} Z_{Bg} \\ T^A_C{}^e R^C_{Bfg} &= \frac{1}{2} (-\mathbf{g}^{eg} X^A Z_{Bf} + \delta^e_f X^A Z_B{}^g) \\ T^A_C{}^e S^C_B &= -Z^{Ae} X_B \\ T^A_C{}^e T^C_{Bg} &= -\mathbf{g}^{eg} X^A X_B. \end{aligned}$$

The nonzero traces (in the majuscule indices) of these compositions are

$$\begin{aligned} Q^A_{Be} T^B_{A}{}^g &= -2\delta^g_e \\ R^A_{Bd}{}^e R^B_{Af}{}^g &= \frac{1}{2} (\delta^e_f \delta^g_d - \mathbf{g}^{eg} \mathbf{g}_{df}) \\ S^A_B S^B_A &= 2. \end{aligned}$$

So we can extract the components of a general adjoint tractor

$$\mathbb{A}^A_B := k^a Q^A_{Ba} + \varphi^a_b R^A_{Ba}{}^b + \xi S^A_B + \nu_b T^A_B{}^b$$

via

$$\begin{aligned} k^a &= -\frac{1}{2} T^B_{A}{}^a \mathbb{A}^A_B = X_A Z^{Ba} \mathbb{A}^A_B \\ \varphi^a_b &= R^B_{Ab}{}^a \mathbb{A}^A_B = Z_A{}^a Z^B_b \mathbb{A}^A_B \\ \xi &= \frac{1}{2} S^B_{A} \mathbb{A}^A_B = X_A Y^B \mathbb{A}^A_B \\ \nu_b &= -\frac{1}{2} Q^B_{Ab} \mathbb{A}^A_B = Y_A Z^B_b \mathbb{A}^A_B. \end{aligned}$$

The Lie brackets $[U, V]^A_B := U^A_C V^C_B - V^A_C U^C_B$ of the operators are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 [Q_e, Q_g]^A_B &= 0 \\
 [Q_e, R_f^g]^A_B &= \frac{1}{2} (-\delta^g_e Q^A_{Bf} + \mathbf{g}_{ef} Q^A_{B^g}) \\
 [Q_e, S]^A_B &= -Q^A_{Be} \\
 [Q_e, T^g]^A_B &= -2R^A_{B^e g} - \delta^g_e S^A_B \\
 [R_d^e, R_f^g]^A_B &= \frac{1}{2} (\delta^e_f R^A_{Bd^g} - \mathbf{g}^{eg} R^A_{Bdf} - \mathbf{g}_{df} R^A_{B^e g} - \delta^g_d R^A_{Bf^e}) \\
 [R_d^e, S]^A_B &= 0 \\
 [R_d^e, T^g]^A_B &= \frac{1}{2} (-\delta^g_d T^A_{B^e} + \mathbf{g}^{eg} T^A_{Bd}) \\
 [S, S]^A_B &= 0 \\
 [S, T^g]^A_B &= -T^A_{B^g} \\
 [T^e, T^g]^A_B &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

2.2. The Kostant codifferential. The relevant Kostant codifferential in degree 1 is the map

$$\partial^* : \mathfrak{p}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

given by (the restriction of) the adjoint action. We can identify an element $\eta_c \in \mathfrak{p}_+$ with $\eta_c T^A_{B^c} \in \mathfrak{g}$, and hence ∂^* is given on simple elements by

$$\partial^*(\eta \otimes \mathbb{A})^D_E = [\eta_c T^c, \mathbb{A}]^D_E.$$

We wish to identify $\partial^*(\eta \otimes \mathbb{A})^D_E$ as a contraction of $(\eta \otimes \mathbb{A})^A_B = \eta_c \mathbb{A}^A_B$ with a natural tractor tensor, which we denote $(\partial^*)^A_{B^c D^E}$, in $\mathfrak{g} \otimes (\mathfrak{p}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{g})^* \cong \mathfrak{g} \otimes (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*$.

By linearity this will characterize ∂^* without any restriction to simple elements. Using the extraction formulae gives that we can write a general adjoint tractor as

$$\mathbb{A}^D_E = -\frac{1}{2} T^B_A{}^d \mathbb{A}^A_B Q^D_{Ed} + R^B_{Ae}{}^d \mathbb{A}^A_B R^D_{Ed^e} + \frac{1}{2} S^B_A \mathbb{A}^A_B S^D_E - \frac{1}{2} Q^B_{Ae} \mathbb{A}^A_B T^D_{E^e}.$$

So, substituting in the above formula for $\partial^*(\eta \otimes \mathbb{A})^D_E$, using linearity, factoring out the common factor $\eta_c \mathbb{A}^A_B$, and using the above formulae for Lie brackets of injection operators gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial^*(\eta \otimes \mathbb{A})^D_E &= [\eta_c T^c, \mathbb{A}]^D_E \\
 &= \eta_c \mathbb{A}^A_B \left(-\frac{1}{2} T^B_A{}^d [T^c, Q_d]^D_E + R^B_{Ae}{}^d [T^c, R_d^e]^D_E + \frac{1}{2} S^B_A [T^c, S]^D_E - \frac{1}{2} Q^B_{Ae} [T^c, T^e] \right) \\
 &= \eta_c \mathbb{A}^A_B \left[-\frac{1}{2} T^B_A{}^d (-2R^D_{Ed^c} + \delta^c_d S^D_E) + R^B_{Ae}{}^d \left[\frac{1}{2} (\delta^c_d T^D_{E^e} - \mathbf{g}^{ce} T^D_{Ed}) \right] - \frac{1}{2} S^B_A (-T^D_{E^c}) \right]. \quad ***
 \end{aligned}$$

So, the operator with whose contraction characterizes the action of ∂^* is the one in the outer brackets on the right-hand side. Exploiting the fact that $R^B_{Ae}{}^d$ is \mathfrak{g} -skew in its miniscule indices simplifies the middle term, giving a simple expression for the codifferential so viewed:

$$(\partial^*)_A{}^{BcD}{}_E = R^B_{Af}{}^c T^D_{E^f} + \frac{1}{2} S^B_A T^D_{E^c} - T^B_A{}^f R^D_{E^f c} - \frac{1}{2} T^B_A{}^c S^D_E.$$

2.3. The normal adjoint tractor connection. Using the usual formulae for the normal tractor covariant derivatives of X^A, Z^A_b, Y^A gives formulas for the derivatives $\nabla_e U^A_B$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla_e Q^A_{Bd} &= -2P_{ef} R^A_{Bd^f} - P_{de} S^A_B \\
 \nabla_e R^A_{Bc}{}^d &= \frac{1}{2} (\delta^d_e Q^A_{Bc} - \mathbf{g}_{ce} Q^A_{B^d} + P^d_e T^A_{Bc} - P_{ce} T^A_{B^d}) \\
 \nabla_e S^A_B &= Q^A_{Be} - P_{ef} T^A_{B^f} \\
 \nabla_e T^A_{B^d} &= 2R^A_{B^e d} + \delta^d_e S^A_B.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in terms of its components, the covariant derivative of a general adjoint tractor is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla_c \mathbb{A}^A_B &= \nabla_c (k^b Q^A_{Bb} + \varphi^a_b R^A_{Ba^b} - \xi S^A_B + \nu_b T^A_{B^b}) \\
 &= (k^b{}_{,c} + \varphi^b_c + \xi \delta^b_c) Q^A_{Bb} + [\varphi^a_{b,c} + (P^a_c k_b - P_{bc} k^a) + (\delta^a_c \nu_b - g_{bc} \nu^a)] R^A_{Ba^b} \\
 &\quad + (\xi_{,c} - P_{cd} k^d + \nu_c) S^A_B + (\nu_{b,c} - P_{cd} \varphi^d_b - \xi P_{bc}) T^A_{B^b}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, for a given adjoint tractor \mathbb{A}^A_B we denote the components of the covariant derivative $\nabla_c \mathbb{A}^A_B$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^b_c &:= k^b_{,c} + \varphi^b_c + \xi \delta^b_c \\ \beta^a_{bc} &:= \varphi^a_{b,c} + (P^a_c k_b - P_{bc} k^a) + (\delta^a_c \nu_b - \mathbf{g}_{bc} \nu^a) \\ \gamma_c &:= \xi_{,c} - P_{cd} k^d + \nu_c \\ \zeta_{bc} &:= \nu_{b,c} - P_{cd} \varphi^d_b - \xi P_{bc}\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\nabla_c \mathbb{A}^A_B := \alpha^a_c Q^A_{Ba} + \beta^a_{bc} R^A_{Ba}{}^b + \gamma_c S^A_B + \zeta_{bc} T^A_B{}^b.$$

2.4. The adjoint splitting operator. The adjoint splitting operator $L_0 : \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ for the normal tractor connection ∇ is characterized by (1) $\Pi_0 \circ L_0 = \text{id}_{TM}$, where $\Pi_0 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow TM$ is the canonical projection induced by the canonical projection $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}$, which we can identify with $T^A_B{}^c$ (also, we freely use the same notation for tensorial maps and the maps on spaces of sections they induce), and (2) $\partial^* \circ \nabla \circ L_0 = 0$.

To determine the components of this operator with respect to the splitting determined by a choice of scale, we compute

$$(\partial^* \nabla \mathbb{A})^D_E$$

for a general adjoint tractor \mathbb{A} as above. Expanding gives

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial^* \nabla \mathbb{A})^D_E &= (\partial^*)^A{}^{BCD}{}_E \nabla_c \mathbb{A}^A_B \\ &= (R^B{}_{Af}{}^c T^D{}_E{}^f + \frac{1}{2} S^B{}_A T^D{}_E{}^c - T^B{}_A{}^f R^D{}_E{}^f{}^c - \frac{1}{2} T^B{}_A{}^c S^D{}_E) \\ &\quad \cdot (\alpha^a_c Q^A_{Ba} + \beta^a_{bc} R^A_{Ba}{}^b + \gamma_c S^A_B + \zeta_{bc} T^A_B{}^b) \\ &= -2\alpha^a_c R^D{}_E{}^a{}^c + \alpha^a_a S^D{}_E + \frac{1}{2} (\beta^a_{ca} - \beta^a{}_a{}^c + \gamma_c) T^D{}_E{}^c.\end{aligned}$$

We now impose the condition $\partial^* \nabla \mathbb{A} = 0$. Contracting with $R^E{}_{Df}{}^g$ and substituting the expression for α in terms of the components of \mathbb{A} gives

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= -(\alpha^g{}_f - \alpha^f{}_g) \\ &= -[(k^g{}_{,f} - \varphi^g{}_f + \xi \delta^g{}_f) - (k_f{}^{,g} - \varphi_f{}^g + \xi \delta_f{}^g)] \\ &= -[k^g{}_{,f} - k_f{}^{,g} - 2\varphi^g{}_f].\end{aligned}$$

Solving for φ gives

$$\varphi^g{}_f = \frac{1}{2} (-k^g{}_{,f} + k_f{}^{,g}).$$

Instead contracting with $S^E{}_D$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= 2\alpha^a{}_a \\ &= 2(k^a{}_{,a} + \varphi^a{}_a + \xi \delta^a{}_a) \\ &= 2(k^a{}_{,a} + n\xi),\end{aligned}$$

and again solving gives

$$\xi = -\frac{1}{n} k^a{}_{,a}.$$

Finally, contracting instead with $Q^E{}_{Df}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= 2(-\beta^a{}_{fa} + \gamma_f) \\ &= 2[(\varphi^a{}_{f,a} + (P^a{}_a k_f - P^a{}_g k_a) + (\delta^a{}_a \nu_f - \delta^a{}_f \nu_a)) + 2(\xi_{,f} + \nu_g - P_{fg} k^g)] \\ &= 2[\varphi^a{}_{f,a} + 2P^a{}_a k_f - 4P^a{}_g k_a + 2\xi_{,f} + 2n\nu_f].\end{aligned}$$

Solving for ν and substituting the already-derived expressions for φ and ξ gives

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_f &= \frac{1}{n} (-\varphi^a{}_{f,a} - P^a{}_a k_f + 2P_{af} k^a - \xi_{,f}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left(-\frac{1}{2} (-k^a{}_{,f} + k_f{}^{,a})_{,a} - P^a{}_a k_f + 2P_{af} k^a - \left(-\frac{1}{n} k^a{}_{,a} \right)_{,a} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{2} k^a{}_{,fa} - \frac{1}{2} k_{f,a}{}^a + \frac{1}{n} k^a{}_{,af} - P^a{}_a k_f + 2P_{af} k^a \right)\end{aligned}$$

We have thus determined the normal splitting operator $L_0 : \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ for the adjoint representation:

$$L_0(k)^A_B = k^a Q^A_{Ba} + \frac{1}{2} (-k^a{}_{,b} + k_b{}^{,a}) R^A_{Ba}{}^b - \frac{1}{n} k^c{}_{,c} S^A_B + \frac{1}{n} \left(-\frac{1}{2} k_{b,c}{}^c + \frac{1}{2} k^c{}_{,bc} + \frac{1}{n} k^c{}_{,cb} - P^c{}_c k_b + 2P_{bc} k^c \right) T^A_B{}^b.$$

3. THE STANDARD REPRESENTATION \mathbb{V} , CONTINUED

3.1. The Kostant codifferential. The relevant Kostant codifferential in degree 1 is the map $\partial^* : \mathfrak{p}_+ \otimes \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ given by (the restriction of) the standard action. This is given on simple elements by $\partial^*(\eta \otimes I)^C = [(\eta_b T^b) \cdot I]^C$, where \cdot denotes the standard action. Computing gives that ∂^* is given by the contraction with the following tractor tensor (which we also denote ∂^*):

$$(\partial^*)_A{}^{bC} = T^C{}_A{}^b = X^C Z_A{}^b - Z^{Cb} X_A.$$

3.2. The standard splitting operator. Solving $(\partial^* \nabla I)^A = 0$ gives that the normal splitting operator $L_0 : \mathcal{E}[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ for the standard representation is

$$L_0(\sigma)^A := \sigma Y^A + \sigma^{,b} Z^A{}_b - \frac{1}{n}(\sigma_{,b}{}^b + P^b{}_b \sigma) X^A.$$

 4. THE k TH ALTERNATING REPRESENTATION, $\Lambda^k \mathbb{V}^*$

4.1. The operators determined by a choice of scale. The usual operators $X^A, Z^A{}_b, Y^A$ determine corresponding operators that inject the irreducible G_0 -modules \mathbb{W} into $\Lambda^k \mathbb{V}$. Here \mathbf{A} is an abbreviation for the multi-index $A_1 \cdots A_k$ and \mathbf{b} is an abbreviation for the multi-index $b_1 \cdots b_k$. The notation $\dot{\mathbf{A}}$ is an abbreviation for the multi-index $A_2 \cdots A_k$ and $\ddot{\mathbf{A}}$ an abbreviation for $A_3 \cdots A_k$; define analogously $\dot{\mathbf{b}}$ and $\ddot{\mathbf{b}}$, denote by $\ddot{\mathbf{b}}$ the multi-index $b_4 \cdots b_k$, and set $Z_{\mathbf{A}}{}^{\mathbf{b}} := Z_{[A_1}{}^{b_1} \cdots Z_{A_k]}{}^{b_k}$. (Recall that the musical isomorphism $\mathbb{V}^* \cong \mathbb{V}$ determined by H induces an isomorphism $\Lambda^2 \mathbb{V}^* \cong \mathfrak{so}(p+1, q+1)$). The notation in this section is chosen to be compatible with the notation in the above section giving results for the adjoint representation.)

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\mathbf{A}}{}^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}} &:= k Y_{[A_1} Z_{\dot{\mathbf{A}}]}{}^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}} && \in \Lambda^k \mathbb{V}^* \otimes \Lambda^{k-1} \mathbb{R}^{p,q}[-k] \\ R_{\mathbf{A}}{}^{\mathbf{b}} &:= Z_{\mathbf{A}}{}^{\mathbf{b}} && \in \Lambda^k \mathbb{V}^* \otimes \Lambda^k \mathbb{R}^{p,q}[-k] \\ S_{\mathbf{A}}{}^{\ddot{\mathbf{b}}} &:= k(k-1) Y_{[A_1} X_{A_2} Z_{\ddot{\mathbf{A}}]}{}^{\ddot{\mathbf{b}}} && \in \Lambda^k \mathbb{V}^* \otimes \Lambda^{k-2} \mathbb{R}^{p,q}[-k+2] \\ T_{\mathbf{A}}{}^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}} &:= k X_{[A_1} Z_{\dot{\mathbf{A}}]}{}^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}} && \in \Lambda^k \mathbb{V}^* \otimes \Lambda^{k-1} \mathbb{R}^{p,q}[-k+2]. \end{aligned}$$

If we denote the operators defined for a given k by $(Q_k)_{\mathbf{A}}{}^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}$ (and similarly for the other operators), then the operators for various k are related by the special cases

$$(Q_1)_A = Y_A, \quad (R_1)_A{}^b = Z_A{}^b, \quad (T_1)_A = X_A,$$

and (for appropriate k) the contraction identities

	Y^{A_1}	$Z^{A_1}{}_c$	X^{A_1}
$(Q_k)_{A_1 \dot{\mathbf{A}}}{}^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}$	0	$-\delta^{[b_2}{}_c (Q_{k-1})_{\dot{\mathbf{A}}}{}^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}]$	$(R_{k-1})_{\dot{\mathbf{A}}}{}^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}$
$(R_k)_{A_1 \dot{\mathbf{A}}}{}^{\mathbf{b}}$	0	$\delta^{[b_1}{}_c (R_{k-1})_{\dot{\mathbf{A}}}{}^{\mathbf{b}}]$	0
$(S_k)_{A_1 \dot{\mathbf{A}}}{}^{\ddot{\mathbf{b}}}$	$-(Q_{k-1})_{\dot{\mathbf{A}}}{}^{\ddot{\mathbf{b}}}$	$\delta^{[b_3}{}_c (S_{k-1})_{\dot{\mathbf{A}}}{}^{\ddot{\mathbf{b}}}]$	$(T_{k-1})_{\dot{\mathbf{A}}}{}^{\ddot{\mathbf{b}}}$
$(T_k)_{A_1 \dot{\mathbf{A}}}{}^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}$	$(R_{k-1})_{\dot{\mathbf{A}}}{}^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}$	$-\delta^{[b_2}{}_c (T_{k-1})_{\dot{\mathbf{A}}}{}^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}]$	0

and the wedge product identities

	Y_{A_0}	$Z_{A_0}{}^c$	X_{A_0}
$(Q_k)_{\mathbf{A}}{}^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}$	0	$-k(Q_{k+1})_{A_0 \mathbf{A}}{}^{c\dot{\mathbf{b}}}$	$-(S_{k+1})_{A_0 \mathbf{A}}{}^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}$
$(R_k)_{\mathbf{A}}{}^{\mathbf{b}}$	$(Q_{k+1})_{A_0 \mathbf{A}}{}^{\mathbf{b}}$	$(k+1)(R_{k+1})_{A_0 \mathbf{A}}{}^{c\mathbf{b}}$	$(T_{k+1})_{A_0 \mathbf{A}}{}^{\mathbf{b}}$
$(S_k)_{\mathbf{A}}{}^{\ddot{\mathbf{b}}}$	0	$(k-1)(S_{k+1})_{A_0 \mathbf{A}}{}^{c\ddot{\mathbf{b}}}$	0
$(T_k)_{\mathbf{A}}{}^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}$	$(S_{k+1})_{A_0 \mathbf{A}}{}^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}$	$-k(T_{k+1})_{A_0 \mathbf{A}}{}^{c\dot{\mathbf{b}}}$	0

denote, for example, $(Y \wedge (R_k)_{\mathbf{A}}{}^{\mathbf{b}})_{A_0 \mathbf{A}} = (Q_{k+1})_{A_0 \mathbf{A}}{}^{\mathbf{b}}$.

The nonzero full traces on the tractor indices \mathbf{A} of these operators are

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\mathbf{A}}{}^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}} T_{\dot{\mathbf{c}}}{}^{\mathbf{A}} &= k \delta^{[b_2}{}_{[c_2} \cdots \delta^{b_k]}{}_{c_k]} \\ R_{\mathbf{A}}{}^{b_1 \cdots b_k} R_{c_1 \cdots c_k}{}^{\mathbf{A}} &= \delta^{[b_1}{}_{[c_1} \cdots \delta^{b_k]}{}_{c_k]} \\ S_{\mathbf{A}}{}^{b_2 \cdots b_{k-1}} S_{c_2 \cdots c_{k-1}}{}^{\mathbf{A}} &= -k(k-1) \delta^{[b_2}{}_{[c_2} \cdots \delta^{b_{k-1}]}{}_{c_{k-1}]} \end{aligned}$$

So, we can extract the components of a general tractor k -form

$$\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{A}} := \omega_{\mathbf{b}} Q_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{b}} + \phi_{\mathbf{b}} R_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{b}} + \mu_{\mathbf{b}} S_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{b}} + \tau_{\mathbf{b}} T_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{b}}$$

via

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\mathbf{b}} &= \frac{1}{k} T_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{A}} &= X^{A_1} Z^{A_2}_{b_2} \cdots Z^{A_k}_{b_k} \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{A}} \\ \phi_{\mathbf{b}} &= R_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{A}} &= Z^{A_1}_{b_1} \cdots Z^{A_k}_{b_k} \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{A}} \\ \mu_{\mathbf{b}} &= -\frac{1}{k(k-1)} S_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{A}} &= X^{A_1} Y^{A_2} Z^{A_3}_{b_3} \cdots Z^{A_k}_{A_k} \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{A}} \\ \tau_{\mathbf{b}} &= \frac{1}{k} Q_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{A}} &= Y^{A_1} Z^{A_2}_{b_2} \cdots Z^{A_k}_{b_k} \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{A}}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we can write the identity map $\delta_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{C}}$ on $\Lambda^k \mathbb{V}^*$ as

$$\delta_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{C}} = \frac{1}{k} Q_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{d}} T_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{C}} + R_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{d}} R_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{C}} - \frac{1}{k(k-1)} S_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{d}} S_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{C}} + \frac{1}{k} T_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{d}} Q_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{C}}.$$

The actions of T^c on the injectors are given by

$$\begin{aligned} (T^c \cdot Q^{\mathbf{b}})_{\mathbf{A}} &= -R_{\mathbf{A}}^{c\mathbf{b}} + \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{g}^{c[b_2} S_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{b}]} \\ (T^c \cdot R^{\mathbf{b}})_{\mathbf{A}} &= \mathbf{g}^{c[b_1} T_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{b}]} \\ (T^c \cdot S^{\mathbf{b}})_{\mathbf{A}} &= (k-1) T_{\mathbf{A}}^{c\mathbf{b}} \\ (T^c \cdot T^{\mathbf{b}})_{\mathbf{A}} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

4.2. The Kostant codifferential. The relevant Kostant codifferential in degree 1 is the map

$$\partial^* : \mathfrak{p}_+ \otimes \Lambda^k \mathbb{V}^* \rightarrow \Lambda^k \mathbb{V}^*$$

given by (the restriction of) the standard action of \mathfrak{g} , which is given by the action of T^C on the injectors. As in the adjoint case, we wish to identify $\partial^*(\eta \otimes \mathbb{B})_{\mathbf{A}} = (\eta_c T^c \cdot \mathbb{B})_{\mathbf{A}}$ as a contraction of $(\eta \otimes \mathbb{B})_{b\mathbf{C}} = \eta_b \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{C}}$ with a natural tractor tensor, which we denote $(\partial^*)_{\mathbf{A}}^{b\mathbf{C}}$, in $(\Lambda^k \mathbb{V}^*) \otimes (\mathfrak{p}_+ \otimes \Lambda^k \mathbb{V}^*)^* \cong \Lambda^k \mathbb{V}^* \otimes (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}) \otimes \Lambda^k \mathbb{V}$. By linearity this will characterize ∂^* . Using the above formula for the identity $\delta_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{C}}$ on $\Lambda^k \mathbb{V}^*$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{A}} &= \delta_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{C}} \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{C}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{k} Q_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{d}} T_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{C}} + R_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{d}} R_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{C}} - \frac{1}{k(k-1)} S_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{d}} S_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{C}} + \frac{1}{k} T_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{d}} Q_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{C}} \right) \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{C}}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting gives

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial^*)_{\mathbf{A}}^{b\mathbf{C}} \eta_b \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{C}} &= \partial^*(\eta \otimes \mathbb{B})_{\mathbf{A}} \\ &= \eta_b (T^b \cdot \mathbb{B})_{\mathbf{A}} \\ &= \eta_b \left(\frac{1}{k} (T^b \cdot Q^{\mathbf{d}})_{\mathbf{A}} T_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{C}} + (T^b \cdot R^{\mathbf{d}})_{\mathbf{A}} R_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{C}} - \frac{1}{k(k-1)} (T^b \cdot S^{\mathbf{d}})_{\mathbf{A}} S_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{C}} + \frac{1}{k} (T^b \cdot T^{\mathbf{d}})_{\mathbf{A}} Q_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{C}} \right) \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{C}}. \end{aligned}$$

So, using the above formulae for the actions of T^c on the injectors gives

$$(\partial^*)_{\mathbf{A}}^{b\mathbf{C}} = \left[\mathbf{g}^{c[d_1} T_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{d}]} R_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{C}} - \frac{1}{k} T_{\mathbf{A}}^{c\mathbf{d}} S_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{C}} + \frac{1}{k} \left(-R_{\mathbf{A}}^{c\mathbf{d}} + \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{g}^{c[d_2} S_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{d}]} \right) T_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{C}} \right].$$

4.3. The normal tractor k -form connection. Using the usual formulae for the normal tractor covariant derivatives of X^A, Z^A_b, Y^A gives formulas for the derivatives $\nabla_e U_{\mathbf{A}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_c Q_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{b}} &= k P_{cd} R_{\mathbf{A}}^{d\mathbf{b}} - P^{[b_2}_c S_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{b}]} \\ \nabla_c R_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{b}} &= -\delta^{[b_1}_c Q_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{b}]} - P_c^{[b_1} T_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{b}]} \\ \nabla_c S_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{b}} &= (k-1) Q_{\mathbf{A}c}^{\mathbf{b}} - (k-1) P_{cd} T_{\mathbf{A}}^{d\mathbf{b}} \\ \nabla_c T_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{b}} &= k R_{\mathbf{A}c}^{\mathbf{b}} + \delta^{[b_2}_c S_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{b}]} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in components, the covariant derivative of a general tractor k -form is

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_b \mathbb{B}_A &= \nabla_c (\omega_{\dot{\mathbf{b}}} Q_A^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}} + \phi_{\mathbf{b}} R_A^{\mathbf{b}} + \mu_{\ddot{\mathbf{b}}} S_A^{\ddot{\mathbf{b}}} + \tau_{\dot{\mathbf{b}}} T_A^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}) \\ &= [\omega_{\dot{\mathbf{b}},c} - \phi_{c\dot{\mathbf{b}}} + (k-1)g_{c[b_2\mu_{\ddot{\mathbf{b}}}}] Q_A^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}} + (\phi_{\mathbf{b},c} + kP_{c[b_1\omega_{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}}] + k\mathbf{g}_{c[b_1\tau_{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}}]) R_A^{\mathbf{b}} \\ &\quad + (\mu_{\ddot{\mathbf{b}},c} - P_c^d \omega_{d\ddot{\mathbf{b}}} + \tau_{c\ddot{\mathbf{b}}}) S_A^{\ddot{\mathbf{b}}} + (\tau_{\dot{\mathbf{b}},c} - P_c^d \phi_{d\dot{\mathbf{b}}} - (k-1)P_{c[b_2\mu_{\ddot{\mathbf{b}}}}]) T_A^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Again for convenience, for a given adjoint tractor \mathbb{B}_A we denote the components of the covariant derivative $\nabla_b \mathbb{B}_A$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{\dot{\mathbf{b}}c} &:= \omega_{\dot{\mathbf{b}},c} - \phi_{c\dot{\mathbf{b}}} + (k-1)g_{c[b_2\mu_{\ddot{\mathbf{b}}}} \\ \beta_{\mathbf{b}c} &:= \phi_{\mathbf{b},c} + kP_{c[b_1\omega_{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}}] + k\mathbf{g}_{c[b_1\tau_{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}} \\ \gamma_{\ddot{\mathbf{b}}c} &:= \mu_{\ddot{\mathbf{b}},c} - P_c^d \omega_{d\ddot{\mathbf{b}}} + \tau_{c\ddot{\mathbf{b}}} \\ \zeta_{\dot{\mathbf{b}}c} &:= \tau_{\dot{\mathbf{b}},c} - P_c^d \phi_{d\dot{\mathbf{b}}} - (k-1)P_{c[b_1\mu_{\ddot{\mathbf{b}}}}\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\nabla_c \mathbb{B}_A := \alpha_{\dot{\mathbf{b}}c} Q_A^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}} + \beta_{\mathbf{b}c} R_A^{\mathbf{b}} + \gamma_{\ddot{\mathbf{b}}c} S_A^{\ddot{\mathbf{b}}} + \zeta_{\dot{\mathbf{b}}c} T_A^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}.$$

4.4. The splitting operator for $\Lambda^k \mathbb{V}^*$. As in the adjoint case, to compute the splitting operator $L_0 : \Gamma(\Lambda^{k-1} T^* M[k]) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^k \mathcal{T}^*)$ with respect to the splitting determined by a choice of scale, we compute

$$(\partial^* \nabla \mathbb{B})_A$$

for a general adjoint tractor \mathbb{B} . Expanding gives

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial^* \nabla \mathbb{B})_A &= (\partial^*)_A{}^{bC} \nabla_b \mathbb{B}_C \\ &= \left[\mathbf{g}^{b[d_1 T_A^{\dot{\mathbf{d}}}] R_C^{\mathbf{d}}} - \frac{1}{k} T_A^{b\dot{\mathbf{d}}} S_C^{\mathbf{d}} + \frac{1}{k} \left(-R_A^{b\dot{\mathbf{d}}} + \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{g}^{b[d_2 S_A^{\dot{\mathbf{d}}}] \right) T_C^{\mathbf{d}} \right] \\ &\quad \cdot (\alpha_{\dot{\mathbf{e}}b} Q_C^{\dot{\mathbf{e}}} + \beta_{\mathbf{e}b} R_C^{\mathbf{e}} + \gamma_{\ddot{\mathbf{e}}b} S_C^{\ddot{\mathbf{e}}} + \zeta_{\dot{\mathbf{e}}b} T_C^{\dot{\mathbf{e}}}) \\ &= -\alpha_{\dot{\mathbf{d}}b} R_A^{b\dot{\mathbf{d}}} + \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{g}^{bd_2} \alpha_{\dot{\mathbf{d}}b} S_A^{\dot{\mathbf{d}}} + [\mathbf{g}^{bd_1} \beta_{\mathbf{d}b} + (k-1)\gamma_{\dot{\mathbf{d}}d_2}] T_A^{b\dot{\mathbf{d}}}.\end{aligned}$$

We now impose the condition $\partial^* \nabla \mathbb{B} = 0$. Contracting with $R^{\mathbf{A}}_{e_1 \dots e_k}$ and substituting the expression for α in terms of the components of \mathbb{B} gives

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= -\alpha_{[\mathbf{e}]} \\ &= -[\omega_{[\dot{\mathbf{e}}, e_1]} - \phi_{\mathbf{e}} + (k-1)\mathbf{g}_{[e_1 e_2 \mu_{\ddot{\mathbf{e}}}}].\end{aligned}$$

The third term vanishes by symmetry, so rearranging gives

$$\phi_{\mathbf{e}} = \omega_{[\dot{\mathbf{e}}, e_1]}.$$

Instead contracting with $S^{\mathbf{A}}_{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{g}^{be_2} \alpha_{\dot{\mathbf{e}}b} \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{g}^{be_2} [\omega_{[\dot{\mathbf{e}}, b]} - \phi_{b\dot{\mathbf{e}}} + (k-1)g_{b[e_2 \mu_{\ddot{\mathbf{e}}}}].\end{aligned}$$

The second term in brackets vanishes by skew-symmetry, and we can expand the third term according to the position of the index e_2 as

$$(k-1)\mathbf{g}_{b[e_2 \mu_{\ddot{\mathbf{e}}}}] = g_{be_2} \mu_{\ddot{\mathbf{e}}} - (k-2)g_{b[e_3 \mu_{|e_2|} \ddot{\mathbf{e}}]},$$

and the \mathbf{g}^{be_2} -trace of this term is just $(n-k+2)\mu_{\ddot{\mathbf{e}}}$, so substituting and rearranging gives

$$\mu_{\ddot{\mathbf{e}}} = -\frac{1}{n-k+2} \omega_{b\dot{\mathbf{e}}},{}^b.$$

Finally, contracting instead with $Q^{\mathbf{A}}_{\dot{\mathbf{e}}}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= k[\mathbf{g}^{bd} \beta_{d\dot{\mathbf{e}}b} + (k-1)\gamma_{\ddot{\mathbf{e}}e_2}] \\ &= k[\mathbf{g}^{bd} (\phi_{d\dot{\mathbf{e}}, b} + kP_{b[d\omega_{\dot{\mathbf{e}}}}] + k\mathbf{g}_{b[d\tau_{\dot{\mathbf{e}}}}]) + (k-1)(\mu_{[\dot{\mathbf{e}}, e_2]} P^b_{[e_2 \omega_{|b|\dot{\mathbf{e}}}] + \tau_{\dot{\mathbf{e}}})]\end{aligned}$$

Decomposing the second term according to the position of the index d gives

$$kP_{b[d\omega_{\dot{\mathbf{e}}}}] = P_{bd} \omega_{\dot{\mathbf{e}}} - (k-1)P^b_{[e_2 \omega_{|b|\dot{\mathbf{e}}}]},$$

and one can treat the third term separately. Carrying out the contraction with g^{bd} and collecting like terms then gives

$$0 = k[\phi_{b\dot{\mathbf{e}}},{}^b - 2(k-1)P^b_{[e_2\omega|b|\dot{\mathbf{e}}]} + P^b{}_b\omega_{\dot{\mathbf{e}}} + (k-1)\mu_{[\dot{\mathbf{e}},e_2]} + n\tau_{\dot{\mathbf{e}}}],$$

and solving for $\tau_{\dot{\mathbf{e}}}$ yields

$$\tau_{\dot{\mathbf{e}}} = -\frac{1}{n}[\phi_{b\dot{\mathbf{e}}},{}^b - 2(k-1)P^b_{[e_2\omega|b|\dot{\mathbf{e}}]} + P^b{}_b\omega_{\dot{\mathbf{e}}} + (k-1)\mu_{[\dot{\mathbf{e}},e_2]}].$$

Using the value of $\phi_{\mathbf{e}}$ determined above, the first term in brackets is

$$\phi_{b\dot{\mathbf{e}}},{}^b = (\omega_{[\dot{\mathbf{e}},b]}),{}^b = \left(\frac{1}{k}\omega_{\dot{\mathbf{e}},b} - \frac{k-1}{k}\omega_{b[\dot{\mathbf{e}},e_2]}\right),{}^b = \frac{1}{k}\omega_{\dot{\mathbf{e}},b} - \frac{k-1}{k}\omega_{b[\dot{\mathbf{e}},e_2]}{}^b$$

Similarly,

$$\mu_{[\dot{\mathbf{e}},e_2]} = \left(-\frac{1}{n-k+2}\omega_{b[\dot{\mathbf{e}},e_2]}\right),{}^b = -\frac{1}{n-k+2}\omega_{b[\dot{\mathbf{e}},e_2]}{}^b.$$

Substituting this in the expression for $\tau_{\dot{\mathbf{e}}}$ gives

$$\tau_{\dot{\mathbf{e}}} = -\frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{1}{k}\omega_{\dot{\mathbf{e}},b} - \frac{k-1}{k}\omega_{b[\dot{\mathbf{e}},e_2]}{}^b - \frac{k-1}{n-k+2}\omega_{b[\dot{\mathbf{e}},e_2]}{}^b - 2(k-1)P^b_{[e_2\omega|b|\dot{\mathbf{e}}]} + P^b{}_b\omega_{\dot{\mathbf{e}}} \right].$$

We have thus determined the normal splitting operator $L_0 : \Gamma(\Lambda^{k-1}T^*M[k]) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^k\mathcal{T}^*)$ for the k th alternating representation:

$$\begin{aligned} L_0(\omega)_{\mathbf{A}} = & \omega_{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}Q_{\mathbf{A}}{}^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}} + \omega_{[\dot{\mathbf{b}},b_1]}R_{\mathbf{A}}{}^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}} - \frac{1}{n-k+2}\omega_{c\dot{\mathbf{b}}},{}^cS_{\mathbf{A}}{}^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}} \\ & - \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{1}{k}\omega_{\dot{\mathbf{b}},c} - \frac{k-1}{k}\omega_{c[\dot{\mathbf{b}},b_2]}{}^c - \frac{k-1}{n-k+2}\omega_{c[\dot{\mathbf{b}},b_2]}{}^c - 2(k-1)P^c_{[b_2\omega|c|\dot{\mathbf{b}}]} + P^c{}_c\omega_{\dot{\mathbf{b}}} \right] T_{\mathbf{A}}{}^{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}. \end{aligned}$$

E-mail address: travis.willse@univie.ac.at