Almost Einstein (2,3,5) conformal structures

Travis Willse Joint <u>w</u> Katja Sagerschnig (Politecnico di Torino)

University of Vienna

Srní, 2017 January 17

Definition

A **conformal structure** on a smooth manifold M is an equivalence class **c** of (pseudo-)Riemannian metrics on M, where $g \sim g'$ iff $g' = \Omega^2 g$ for some smooth $\Omega > 0$.

Definition

A **conformal structure** on a smooth manifold M is an equivalence class **c** of (pseudo-)Riemannian metrics on M, where $g \sim g'$ iff $g' = \Omega^2 g$ for some smooth $\Omega > 0$.

► Idea: With **c**, we can measure angles but not lengths.

Definition

A **conformal structure** on a smooth manifold M is an equivalence class **c** of (pseudo-)Riemannian metrics on M, where $g \sim g'$ iff $g' = \Omega^2 g$ for some smooth $\Omega > 0$.

► Idea: With **c**, we can measure angles but not lengths.

Definition

A (pseudo-)Riemannian metric g is Einstein if $Ric = \mu g$.

Definition

A **conformal structure** on a smooth manifold M is an equivalence class **c** of (pseudo-)Riemannian metrics on M, where $g \sim g'$ iff $g' = \Omega^2 g$ for some smooth $\Omega > 0$.

► Idea: With **c**, we can measure angles but not lengths.

Definition

A (pseudo-)Riemannian metric g is Einstein if $Ric = \mu g$.

Problem: When does a conformal structure **c** contain an Einstein metric g? (Brinkmann, Hanntjes & Wrona, et al.)

▶ We follow Bailey, Eastwood, Gover: Given $g \in \mathbf{c}$, we search for a \hat{g} with $\widehat{\text{Ric}} = \mu \hat{g}$, that is, $\widehat{\text{Ric}}_{\circ} = 0$ (here, \cdot_{\circ} denotes tracefree part).

- ▶ We follow Bailey, Eastwood, Gover: Given $g \in \mathbf{c}$, we search for a \hat{g} with $\widehat{\text{Ric}} = \mu \hat{g}$, that is, $\widehat{\text{Ric}}_{\circ} = 0$ (here, \cdot_{\circ} denotes tracefree part).
- Instead we use the Schouten tensor P, a trace-adjustment of Ricci:

$$\mathsf{P} = \frac{1}{n-2} \left(\mathsf{Ric} - \frac{R}{2(n-1)} g \right).$$

- ▶ We follow Bailey, Eastwood, Gover: Given $g \in \mathbf{c}$, we search for a \hat{g} with $\widehat{\text{Ric}} = \mu \hat{g}$, that is, $\widehat{\text{Ric}} = 0$ (here, \cdot_{\circ} denotes tracefree part).
- Instead we use the Schouten tensor P, a trace-adjustment of Ricci:

$$\mathsf{P} = rac{1}{n-2} \left(\mathsf{Ric} - rac{R}{2(n-1)} g
ight).$$

• Under a change $g \rightsquigarrow \hat{g} := \Omega^2 g$, this transforms as

$$\hat{\mathsf{P}} = \mathsf{P} -
abla \Upsilon + \Upsilon \otimes \Upsilon - rac{1}{2} g(\Upsilon, \Upsilon) g, \qquad \Upsilon = d \log \Omega.$$

- ▶ We follow Bailey, Eastwood, Gover: Given $g \in \mathbf{c}$, we search for a \hat{g} with $\widehat{\text{Ric}} = \mu \hat{g}$, that is, $\widehat{\text{Ric}}_{\circ} = 0$ (here, \cdot_{\circ} denotes tracefree part).
- Instead we use the Schouten tensor P, a trace-adjustment of Ricci:

$$\mathsf{P} = rac{1}{n-2} \left(\mathsf{Ric} - rac{R}{2(n-1)} g
ight).$$

• Under a change $g \rightsquigarrow \hat{g} := \Omega^2 g$, this transforms as

$$\hat{\mathsf{P}} = \mathsf{P} -
abla \Upsilon + \Upsilon \otimes \Upsilon - rac{1}{2}g(\Upsilon,\Upsilon)g, \qquad \Upsilon = d\log\Omega.$$

▶ So, $\widehat{Ric}_{\circ} = 0$ is equivalent to $\widehat{P}_{\circ} = 0$ and thus to

$$(\mathsf{P}-\nabla\Upsilon+\Upsilon\otimes\Upsilon)_\circ=0.$$

- ▶ We follow Bailey, Eastwood, Gover: Given $g \in \mathbf{c}$, we search for a \hat{g} with $\widehat{\text{Ric}} = \mu \hat{g}$, that is, $\widehat{\text{Ric}}_{\circ} = 0$ (here, \cdot_{\circ} denotes tracefree part).
- Instead we use the Schouten tensor P, a trace-adjustment of Ricci:

$$\mathsf{P} = rac{1}{n-2} \left(\mathsf{Ric} - rac{R}{2(n-1)} g
ight).$$

• Under a change $g \rightsquigarrow \hat{g} := \Omega^2 g$, this transforms as

$$\hat{\mathsf{P}} = \mathsf{P} -
abla \Upsilon + \Upsilon \otimes \Upsilon - rac{1}{2}g(\Upsilon,\Upsilon)g, \qquad \Upsilon = d\log\Omega.$$

▶ So, $\widehat{Ric}_{\circ} = 0$ is equivalent to $\widehat{P}_{\circ} = 0$ and thus to

$$(\mathsf{P} - \nabla \Upsilon + \Upsilon \otimes \Upsilon)_{\circ} = 0.$$

This pde is nonlinear in Ω but...

• ...changing variables to $\sigma := \Omega^{-1}$ linearizes the p.d.e.:

$$(\nabla^2 \sigma + \mathsf{P}\sigma)_\circ = 0. \tag{(*)}$$

• ...changing variables to $\sigma := \Omega^{-1}$ linearizes the p.d.e.:

$$(\nabla^2 \sigma + \mathsf{P}\sigma)_\circ = 0. \tag{(*)}$$

On the set {σ ≠ 0}, σ⁻²g is Einstein. So, we call solutions σ almost Einstein scales for c; if c admits a nonzero a.E.s., we say c is almost Einstein.

• ...changing variables to $\sigma := \Omega^{-1}$ linearizes the p.d.e.:

$$(\nabla^2 \sigma + \mathsf{P}\sigma)_\circ = 0. \tag{(*)}$$

- On the set {σ ≠ 0}, σ⁻²g is Einstein. So, we call solutions σ almost Einstein scales for c; if c admits a nonzero a.E.s., we say c is almost Einstein.
- We can write (*) as a system of first-order p.d.e.s. Prolonging once results in a closed system and hence a connection ∇^V on a vector bundle V → M, the standard tractor bundle.

• ...changing variables to $\sigma := \Omega^{-1}$ linearizes the p.d.e.:

$$(\nabla^2 \sigma + \mathsf{P}\sigma)_\circ = 0. \tag{(*)}$$

- On the set {σ ≠ 0}, σ⁻²g is Einstein. So, we call solutions σ almost Einstein scales for c; if c admits a nonzero a.E.s., we say c is almost Einstein.
- We can write (*) as a system of first-order p.d.e.s. Prolonging once results in a closed system and hence a connection ∇^V on a vector bundle V → M, the standard tractor bundle.
- ► Comes with a fiber metric H satisfying ∇^VH = 0, so Hol(∇^V) ≤ SO(H).

• ...changing variables to $\sigma := \Omega^{-1}$ linearizes the p.d.e.:

$$(\nabla^2 \sigma + \mathsf{P}\sigma)_\circ = 0. \tag{(*)}$$

- On the set {σ ≠ 0}, σ⁻²g is Einstein. So, we call solutions σ almost Einstein scales for c; if c admits a nonzero a.E.s., we say c is almost Einstein.
- We can write (*) as a system of first-order p.d.e.s. Prolonging once results in a closed system and hence a connection ∇^V on a vector bundle V → M, the standard tractor bundle.
- ► Comes with a fiber metric H satisfying ∇^VH = 0, so Hol(∇^V) ≤ SO(H).

Theorem (Bailey-Eastwood-Gover ('94)) For any conformal structure **c**, there is a bijective correspondence $\{almost \ Einstein \ scales \ \sigma\} \stackrel{L_0^{\mathcal{V}}}{\underset{\Pi_0^{\mathcal{V}}}{\longrightarrow}} \{\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}\text{-parallel sections } \mathbb{S} \ of \ \mathcal{V}\}$

Definition

A (2,3,5) distribution **D** is a 2-plane field on a 5-manifold M satisfying the genericity condition that $[\mathbf{D}, [\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}]] = TM$ (this implies that rank $[\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}] = 3$).

Definition

A (2,3,5) distribution **D** is a 2-plane field on a 5-manifold M satisfying the genericity condition that $[\mathbf{D}, [\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}]] = TM$ (this implies that rank $[\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}] = 3$).

 Rich history! Cartan demonstrated a relationship between (2,3,5) distributions and G₂ in his well-known (and difficult) 1910 "Five Variables Paper".

Definition

A (2,3,5) distribution **D** is a 2-plane field on a 5-manifold M satisfying the genericity condition that $[\mathbf{D}, [\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}]] = TM$ (this implies that rank $[\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}] = 3$).

 Rich history! Cartan demonstrated a relationship between (2,3,5) distributions and G₂ in his well-known (and difficult) 1910 "Five Variables Paper".

Theorem (Nurowski ('05))

A (2,3,5) distribution (M, \mathbf{D}) canonically determines a conformal structure $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{D}}$ (of signature (2,3)) on M.

Definition

A (2,3,5) distribution **D** is a 2-plane field on a 5-manifold M satisfying the genericity condition that $[\mathbf{D}, [\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}]] = TM$ (this implies that rank $[\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}] = 3$).

 Rich history! Cartan demonstrated a relationship between (2,3,5) distributions and G₂ in his well-known (and difficult) 1910 "Five Variables Paper".

Theorem (Nurowski ('05))

A (2,3,5) distribution (M, \mathbf{D}) canonically determines a conformal structure $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{D}}$ (of signature (2,3)) on M.

We call the conformal structures that arise this way (2, 3, 5) conformal structures

► Question: When does a (2, 3, 5) conformal structure (M, c_D) admit an almost Einstein scale?

► Question: When does a (2, 3, 5) conformal structure (M, c_D) admit an almost Einstein scale?

Theorem (Nurowski ('05), Hammerl & Sagerschnig ('09)) An (oriented) conformal structure **c** is induced by a distribution **D** if \mathcal{V} admits a $\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}$ -parallel tractor G_2^* -structure compatible with the conformal structure in the sense that $G_2^* < SO(H) \cong SO(3,4)$.

► Question: When does a (2, 3, 5) conformal structure (M, c_D) admit an almost Einstein scale?

Theorem (Nurowski ('05), Hammerl & Sagerschnig ('09)) An (oriented) conformal structure **c** is induced by a distribution **D** if \mathcal{V} admits a $\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}$ -parallel tractor G_2^* -structure compatible with the conformal structure in the sense that $G_2^* < SO(H) \cong SO(3,4)$.

► Such a G_2^* -structure can be encoded in a $\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}$ parallel tractor 3-form $\Phi \in \Gamma(\Lambda^3 \mathcal{V}^*)$.

► Question: When does a (2, 3, 5) conformal structure (M, c_D) admit an almost Einstein scale?

Theorem (Nurowski ('05), Hammerl & Sagerschnig ('09)) An (oriented) conformal structure **c** is induced by a distribution **D** if \mathcal{V} admits a $\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}$ -parallel tractor G_2^* -structure compatible with the conformal structure in the sense that $G_2^* < SO(H) \cong SO(3,4)$.

- Such a G₂^{*}-structure can be encoded in a ∇^V parallel tractor 3-form Φ ∈ Γ(Λ³V^{*}).
- ► Underlying φ is a (weighted) 2-form φ ∈ Γ(Λ² T*M ⊗ E[3]). This turns out to be locally decomposable and nowhere zero, so it defines a 2-plane field on M, namely D

(Almost Einstein (2, 3, 5) conformal structures)

Proposition

An oriented conformal structure of signature (2,3) is both (2,3,5)and almost Einstein iff it admits a holonomy reduction to the intersection S of $Stab(\Phi) = G_2^*$ and the stabilizer $Stab(\mathbb{S})$ of a nonzero vector in \mathbb{V} .

(Almost Einstein (2, 3, 5) conformal structures)

Proposition

An oriented conformal structure of signature (2,3) is both (2,3,5)and almost Einstein iff it admits a holonomy reduction to the intersection S of $Stab(\Phi) = G_2^*$ and the stabilizer $Stab(\mathbb{S})$ of a nonzero vector in \mathbb{V} .

- There are three cases according to the causality type of the vector
 - (spacelike) S = SU(1, 2), g Ricci-negative
 - (isotropic) $S = SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes Q_+$, g Ricci-flat
 - (timelike) $S = SL(3, \mathbb{R})$, g Ricci-positive

The canonical vector field

 Can combine S, Φ algebraically to produce other parallel tractor objects and look at the underlying objects.

The canonical vector field

- Can combine S, Φ algebraically to produce other parallel tractor objects and look at the underlying objects.
- ► Raising an index of -S → Φ gives a parallel *H*-skew endomorphism K ∈ Γ(End V). Underlying it is a conformal Killing field ξ ∈ Γ(*TM*) of c.

The canonical vector field

- Can combine S, Φ algebraically to produce other parallel tractor objects and look at the underlying objects.
- Raising an index of −S □ Φ gives a parallel *H*-skew endomorphism K ∈ Γ(End V). Underlying it is a conformal Killing field ξ ∈ Γ(*TM*) of c.
- The flow Ξ_t of ξ does not preserve D, hence the images of D under Ξ_t comprise a 1-parameter family {D_t} whose elements all induce the same conformal structure.

The conformal isometry problem

This connects the existence of an almost Einstein scale for c_D with a conformal isometry problem:

The conformal isometry problem

This connects the existence of an almost Einstein scale for c_D with a conformal isometry problem:

Problem Given a (2,3,5) distribution **D**, what are the distributions **D**' such that $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{D}'} = \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{D}}$? Alternatively, what are the fibers of Nurowski's functor, $\mathbf{D} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{D}}$?

Compatible 3-forms

Working at the level of parallel sections of tractor bundles, this problem becomes algebraic: What are the 3-forms Φ' that (1) are stabilized by the common stabilizer S of S and Φ and (2) are compatible with H (G₂^{*} ≅ Stab_{SO(H)}(Φ') < SO(H)). May as well assume ε := −H(S,S) ∈ {−1,0,+1}.

Compatible 3-forms

- Working at the level of parallel sections of tractor bundles, this problem becomes algebraic: What are the 3-forms Φ' that (1) are stabilized by the common stabilizer S of S and Φ and (2) are compatible with H (G^{*}₂ ≅ Stab_{SO(H)}(Φ') < SO(H)). May as well assume ε := −H(S,S) ∈ {−1,0,+1}.
- These are precisely the 3-forms

$$\Phi' := \Phi + ar{A} \mathbb{S} \,\lrcorner \, (\mathbb{S}^{\flat} \wedge \Phi) + B \, \mathbb{S} \,\lrcorner \, * \Phi,$$

where $-\varepsilon \bar{A}^2 + 2\bar{A} + B^2 = 0$

Solution to the conformal isometry problem

Theorem

Fix an oriented (2,3,5) distribution (M, \mathbf{D}) .

 Suppose (M, c_D) admits the nonzero almost Einstein scale σ; by rescaling, we may assume that ε ∈ {-1,0,+1}. Then, for (Ā, B) as before,

$$\begin{split} \phi_{ab}' &:= \phi_{ab} + \bar{A} \left[\frac{1}{5} \sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{3} \phi_{ab,c}{}^c + \frac{2}{3} \phi_{c[a,b]}{}^c + \frac{1}{2} \phi_{c[a,c}{}^b] + 4 \mathsf{P}^c{}_{[a} \phi_{b]c} \right) - \sigma \sigma'^c \phi_{[ca,b]} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sigma \sigma_{,[a} \phi_{b]c,}{}^c - \frac{1}{5} \sigma \sigma_{,c}{}^c \phi_{ab} + 3 \sigma'^c \sigma_{,[c} \phi_{ab]} \right] \\ &+ B \left[- \frac{1}{4} \sigma \phi^{cd,}{}_d \phi_{[ab,c]} + \frac{3}{4} \sigma'^c \phi_{[ab} \phi_{c]d,}{}^d \right] \end{split}$$

determines a \mathbf{D}' for which $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{D}'} = \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{D}}$.

2. Conversely, all conformally isometric oriented (2,3,5) distributions arise this way.

Solution to the conformal isometry problem

Theorem

Fix an oriented (2,3,5) distribution (M, \mathbf{D}) .

 Suppose (M, c_D) admits the nonzero almost Einstein scale σ; by rescaling, we may assume that ε ∈ {-1,0,+1}. Then, for (Ā, B) as before,

$$\begin{split} \phi_{ab}' &:= \phi_{ab} + \bar{A} \left[\frac{1}{5} \sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{3} \phi_{ab,c}{}^c + \frac{2}{3} \phi_{c[a,b]}{}^c + \frac{1}{2} \phi_{c[a,}{}^c_{b]} + 4 \mathsf{P}^c_{[a} \phi_{b]c} \right) - \sigma \sigma'^c \phi_{[ca,b]} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sigma \sigma_{,[a} \phi_{b]c,}{}^c - \frac{1}{5} \sigma \sigma_{,c}{}^c \phi_{ab} + 3 \sigma'^c \sigma_{,[c} \phi_{ab]} \right] \\ &+ B [- \frac{1}{4} \sigma \phi^{cd}{}_{,d} \phi_{[ab,c]} + \frac{3}{4} \sigma'^c \phi_{[ab} \phi_{c]d,}{}^d] \end{split}$$

determines a \mathbf{D}' for which $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{D}'} = \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{D}}$.

2. Conversely, all conformally isometric oriented (2,3,5) distributions arise this way.

Theorem

For any **D**, the oriented conformal structure c_D is almost Einstein if there is a $D' \neq D$ such that $c_{D'} = c_D$.

 Both (oriented) conformal geometry and (oriented) (2,3,5) distributions can be realized as *Cartan geometries* of certain types.

- Both (oriented) conformal geometry and (oriented) (2, 3, 5) distributions can be realized as *Cartan geometries* of certain types.
- For a pair (G, P), P ≤ G of Lie groups, these are pairs (G → M, ω), where G is a principal P-bundle and ω is a Cartan connection. Modeled on (G → G/P, ω_{MC}). Idea: Use these to encode underlying geometric structures on M.

- Both (oriented) conformal geometry and (oriented) (2,3,5) distributions can be realized as *Cartan geometries* of certain types.
- For a pair (G, P), P ≤ G of Lie groups, these are pairs (G → M, ω), where G is a principal P-bundle and ω is a Cartan connection. Modeled on (G → G/P, ω_{MC}). Idea: Use these to encode underlying geometric structures on M.
- ► Oriented conformal geometry in signature (2,3): Type (SO(3,4), P̄)
- ▶ Oriented (2,3,5) conformal structures: Type (G^{*}₂, Q).

- Both (oriented) conformal geometry and (oriented) (2,3,5) distributions can be realized as *Cartan geometries* of certain types.
- ► For a pair (G, P), $P \leq G$ of Lie groups, these are pairs $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$, where \mathcal{G} is a principal *P*-bundle and ω is a *Cartan connection*. Modeled on $(G \rightarrow G/P, \omega_{MC})$. Idea: Use these to encode underlying geometric structures on *M*.
- Oriented conformal geometry in signature (2,3): Type (SO(3,4), P
- ▶ Oriented (2,3,5) conformal structures: Type (G^{*}₂, Q).
- For a conformal structure c with corresponding Cartan geometry (Ḡ, ω̄), the standard tractor bundle is Ḡ ×_{p̄} V and ω induces ∇^V, so a holonomy reduction of ∇^V determines a holonomy reduction of (Ḡ, ω̄).

Curved orbit decompositions

Idea: Holonomy reduction of (G, ω) to a group S < G determines a partition ∐_a M_a of M into "curved orbits".

Curved orbit decompositions

- Idea: Holonomy reduction of (G, ω) to a group S < G determines a partition ∐_a M_a of M into "curved orbits".
- ▶ Modeled on S-orbit decomposition of the flat model G/P.

Curved orbit decompositions

- Idea: Holonomy reduction of (G, ω) to a group S < G determines a partition ∐_a M_a of M into "curved orbits".
- Modeled on S-orbit decomposition of the flat model G/P.
- Each curved orbit M_a inherits a principal bundle embedding j_a: G_a → G|M_a, and (G_a, j^{*}_aω) is a Cartan geometry of type (H, P ∩ S)—curved orbits correspond to conjugacy classes of P ∩ S. We can try to interpret these new Cartan geometries in terms of underlying data.

Ricci-negative case

Ma	$(S,S\cap P)$	structure	σ	$L := \langle \xi angle$
M_5^{\pm}	(SU(1,2),SU(1,1))	Sasaki-Einstein $(-\sigma^{-2}g,\xi)$	$\pm \sigma > 0$	L ⊂ [D, D] L ⋔ D
M_4	(SU(1,2), P)	Fefferman (1,3) conformal structure	$\sigma = 0$	$L\subsetD$

Ricci-negative case

Ma	$(S, S \cap P)$	structure	σ	$L:=\langle \xi angle$
M_5^{\pm}	(SU(1,2),SU(1,1))	Sasaki-Einstein $(-\sigma^{-2}g,\xi)$	$\pm \sigma > 0$	L ⊂ [D, D] L ⋔ D
M_4	(SU(1,2), P)	Fefferman (1,3) conformal structure	$\sigma = 0$	$L \subset D$

Since ξ ⊥ W = 0, we can reduce the Sasaki-Einstein structure by ξ. Yields a Cartan geometry of type (SU(1, 2), U(1, 1)), which in this case determines a Ricci-negative Einstein Kähler structure (L⁴, h, J) on the leaf space L⁴ of L|M₅. (Here, J is induced by ∇ξ.)

Ricci-negative case

Ma	$(S, S \cap P)$	structure	σ	$L := \langle \xi angle$
M_5^{\pm}	(SU(1,2),SU(1,1))	Sasaki-Einstein $(-\sigma^{-2}g,\xi)$	$\pm \sigma > 0$	L ⊂ [D, D] L ⋔ D
M_4	(SU(1,2),P)	Fefferman (1,3) conformal structure	$\sigma = 0$	$L \subset D$

- Since ξ ⊥ W = 0, we can reduce the Sasaki-Einstein structure by ξ. Yields a Cartan geometry of type (SU(1, 2), U(1, 1)), which in this case determines a Ricci-negative Einstein Kähler structure (L⁴, h, J) on the leaf space L⁴ of L|M₅. (Here, J is induced by ∇ξ.)
- Conversely, given (L^4, h, J) , we can build (Fefferman) an \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle $M \to L$ canonically equipped with \mathbf{c} , σ , $\{\mathbf{D}_t\}$.

Ricci-negative case

Ma	$(S, S \cap P)$	structure	σ	$L := \langle \xi angle$
M_5^{\pm}	(SU(1,2),SU(1,1))	Sasaki-Einstein $(-\sigma^{-2}g,\xi)$	$\pm \sigma > 0$	L ⊂ [D, D] L ⋔ D
M_4	(SU(1,2),P)	Fefferman (1,3) conformal structure	$\sigma = 0$	$L \subset D$

- Since ξ ⊥ W = 0, we can reduce the Sasaki-Einstein structure by ξ. Yields a Cartan geometry of type (SU(1, 2), U(1, 1)), which in this case determines a Ricci-negative Einstein Kähler structure (L⁴, h, J) on the leaf space L⁴ of L|M₅. (Here, J is induced by ∇ξ.)
- Conversely, given (L^4, h, J) , we can build (Fefferman) an \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle $M \to L$ canonically equipped with **c**, σ , $\{\mathbf{D}_t\}$.
- ► Likewise, L|_{M4} is the distinguished symmetry of a Fefferman conformal structure, and its (3d) leaf space inherits a CR-structure.

A Ricci-negative example

Example

Take $(\mathbb{S}_{\pm}, h_{\pm}, J_{\pm})$ to be the round sphere and hyperbolic plane with their usual Riemann surface structures, normalized so that their scalar curvatures are ± 12 . Then, $(S_+ \times S_-, h_+ \oplus -h_-, J_+ \oplus J_-)$ is a Kähler manifold satisfying Ric = $6(h_+ \oplus -h_-)$. The 1-parameter family of distributions on the twistor space M^5 are all equivalent under the flow of ξ , and are each diffeomorphic to the rolling distribution **D** ('no-slip, no-twist') for S_+ on S_- on the rolling configuration space for S_+ and S_- . Hol $(\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}) \cong SU(1,2)$.

Ricci-positive case

Ma	$(S, S \cap P)$	structure	σ	$L := \langle \xi angle$
M_5^{\pm}	$(SL(3,\mathbb{R}),SL(2,\mathbb{R}))$	para–Sasaki-Einstein $(-\sigma^{-2}g,\xi)$	$\pm \sigma > 0$	L ⊂ [D, D] L ⋔ D
M_4	$(SL(3,\mathbb{R}),P_{12})$	para-Fefferman (2,2) conformal structure	$\sigma = 0$	$L \subset D$
M_2^{\pm}	$(SL(2,\mathbb{R}),P)$	projective surface	$\sigma = 0$	$\xi = 0$

Ricci-positive case

Ma	$(S, S \cap P)$	structure	σ	$L := \langle \xi angle$
M_5^{\pm}	$(SL(3,\mathbb{R}),SL(2,\mathbb{R}))$	para–Sasaki-Einstein $(-\sigma^{-2}g,\xi)$	$\pm \sigma > 0$	L ⊂ [D, D] L ⋔ D
M_4	$(SL(3,\mathbb{R}),P_{12})$	para-Fefferman (2,2) conformal structure	$\sigma = 0$	$L \subset D$
M_2^{\pm}	$(SL(2,\mathbb{R}),P)$	projective surface	$\sigma = 0$	$\xi = 0$

Similar to Ricci-negative case. This time, the leaf space L³ of M⁴ inherits a 3d Lagrangean contact structure, equivalently an o.d.e. y" = F(x, y, y') modulo point equivalence.

Ricci-positive case

Ma	$(S, S \cap P)$	structure	σ	$L := \langle \xi angle$
M_5^{\pm}	$(SL(3,\mathbb{R}),SL(2,\mathbb{R}))$	para–Sasaki-Einstein $(-\sigma^{-2}g,\xi)$	$\pm \sigma > 0$	L ⊂ [D, D] L ⋔ D
M_4	$(SL(3,\mathbb{R}),P_{12})$	para-Fefferman (2,2) conformal structure	$\sigma = 0$	$L \subset D$
M_2^{\pm}	$(SL(2,\mathbb{R}),P)$	projective surface	$\sigma = 0$	$\xi = 0$

- Similar to Ricci-negative case. This time, the leaf space L³ of M⁴ inherits a 3d Lagrangean contact structure, equivalently an o.d.e. y" = F(x, y, y') modulo point equivalence.
- ► This isolates an interesting class of a.E. (2, 3, 5) conformal structures. For any projective surface (N, p), consider the geodesic o.d.e. and build the para-Fefferman structure it determines. Then, try to find a collar.

Thank you.